

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Problem Background	2
	1.2.1 Image's Distortion Problem	3
	1.2.2 Pair of Values Problem (PoVs)	5
	1.2.3 Summary	6
	1.3 Problem Statement	6
	1.4 Objectives	8
	1.5 Project Scope	9
	1.6 Importance of the Research	9

<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Watermarking	12
2.2.1	Digital Watermarking	12
2.2.2	Visible Watermarking	13
2.2.3	Imperceptible Watermarking	13
2.3	Fingerprinting	14
2.4	Cryptography	14
2.5	Steganography	15
2.5.1	Cover Media Selection	17
2.5.1.1	Concealment in Digital Images	17
2.5.1.2	Gray-Scale File Format	19
2.6	Conventional LSB Insertion Method	20
2.6.1	LSB Insertion in Gray-Scale Images	20
2.7	Enhanced LSB Algorithms	22
2.7.1	The Optimal LSB Insertion Method	22
2.7.2	The PVD Method	24
2.7.3	The Multiple-Based Notational System	26
2.7.4	The A-MELLSBR Method	28
2.7.5	An Advanced LSB Embedding Scheme	30
2.8	Evaluation of the Algorithm	31
2.8.1	Imperceptibility	32
2.8.1.1	Image's Distortion	32
2.8.1.2	Quality Metrics	33
2.8.1.2.1	PSNR Metric	34
2.8.1.2.2	Universal Quality	34
2.8.1.2.3	Watson Metric	35
2.8.2	Capacity	36
2.8.2.1	Image Embedding Rate	36
2.8.3	Robustness	37
2.8.3.1	No Existence of Pair of Values	38
2.9	Robustness against Visual Attacks	39
2.9.1	Filtering	39
2.9.2	Chi-Squared Attack ( $\chi^2$ Method)	41

	2.9.3 RS Steganalysis	44
	2.10 Summary	47
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>48</b>
	3.1 Introduction	48
	3.2 Framework of Research Planning	48
	3.2.1 Literature Review	50
	3.2.2 Designing the Algorithm	51
	3.2.3 Implementation	51
	3.2.4 Analysis of the Results	52
	3.3 Summary	52
<b>4</b>	<b>DESIGN OF THE METHOD</b>	<b>53</b>
	4.1 Introduction	53
	4.2 Design of the Algorithm	53
	4.2.1 Adjustment Technique	55
	4.2.2 Embedding Phase	56
	4.2.3 Extracting Phase	63
	4.3 The Flowchart of the Algorithm	64
<b>5</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>65</b>
	5.1 Introduction	65
	5.2 Comparisons	66
	5.2.1 Capacity	68
	5.2.1.1 Simple LSB vs. Optimal Method	68
	5.2.1.2 Proposed Methods vs. MBNS	73
	5.2.2 Imperceptibility	80
	5.2.2.1 Number of LSBs	80
	5.2.2.2 Error Images	83
	5.2.3 Security	87
	5.2.3.1 Chi-Squared Attack	87
	5.3 Conclusion	91

<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK</b>	92
6.1	Introduction	92
6.2	Findings	93
6.2	Future work	93
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	95
	Appendices A-Y	98-104

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
5. 1	Results for Optimal and simple LSB methods (For Man).	70
5. 2	Results for Optimal and simple LSB methods (For Lena).	71
5. 3	Results for Optimal and simple LSB methods (For Finstones).	72
5. 4	Results respective values for each payload together with different values of achieved image quality metrics such as the PSNR, Watson and Universal Q – All tested on Man.	74
5. 5	Respective values for each payload together with different values of achieved image quality metrics such as the PSNR, Watson and Universal Q – All tested on Lena.	76
5. 6	Respective values for each payload together with different values of achieved image quality metrics such as the PSNR, Watson and Universal Q – All tested on Finstone.	78
5. 7	Respective quality values for the same payloads that use the same number of bits (for Man).	81

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1. 1	Windmill as original image (Left), and embedded image.	4
1. 2	EZ-Stego; Filtered images of Figure 1.1: Nothing embedded (Left), 50% capacity of the carrier image used for embedding (Right).	4
1. 3	Gif image of a flooring tile as carrier medium (l.) and its filtered image(r.)	4
1. 4	PoVs artifact exists in the histogram after applying LSB embedding.	5
1. 5	The process of message encoding and message extracting	7
2. 1	A classification of information hiding techniques (Pfitzmann, 1996)	11
2. 2	There is a visible water mark in the middle of the image.	13
2. 3	Typical fingerprint functions.	14
2. 4	The block diagram of a secure steganographic system.	16
2. 5	Available Categories of the steganography media.	18
2. 6	Pixel values before embedding	21
2. 7	Pixel values once embedding process is done.	21
2. 8	All difference values quantized into six ranges	24
2. 9	Two steps of MELsBR method	28
2. 10	A Spatial mask to evaluate the gray level variation in neighbors of pixel	29
2. 11	Comparison between the basic model and LSB embedding.	32

2. 12	Embedding function of EzStego in a reduced pallet from 8 to 3 bits (Westfeld and Pfitzmann, 2000)	40
2. 13	Colors with even index in the sorted palette become black, the rest become white (Westfeld and Pfitzmann, 2000).	40
2. 14	Windmill as carrier medium on the left, and stego-image on the right (Westfeld and Pfitzmann, 2000).	40
2. 15	Filtered images of Figure 2.14: nothing embedded (l.), 50 % of the image capacity is used for embedding (r.) (Westfeld and Pfitzmann, 2000).	41
2. 16	Filtered Flooring tile shows the failure in distinguishing any embedding (Westfeld and Pfitzmann, 2000).	41
2. 17	Probability of embedding in the flooring tile image (Lee, 2009).	43
2. 18	RS-diagram of an image with an embedding rate $p$ Using $M = [0110]$ (Fridrich et al., 2001)	46
3. 1	Framework of research planning	49
3. 2	The work flow of Literature review (doted boxes represent the scope of this research)	50
4. 1	The initial design diagrams related to embedding and extracting procedures.	54
4. 2	An example of how target pixels are chosen in order, by help of a PRNG and comparing its values with Probability number.	58
4. 3	The table shows how the surrounding neighbors of the current pixel are chosen for estimating the maximum capacity (in bits) that is tolerated.	59
4. 4	Supposing that the current pixel capacity equals to 3 bits, so the current three bits of the message bits stream are embedded in the LSBs of the current pixel.	60
4. 5	The desired flowchart of the proposed algorithm.	64
5. 1	Optimal and simple methods performance compared in terms of PSNR (Man).	70
5. 2	Optimal and simple methods performance compared in terms of PSNR (Lena).	71

5. 3	Optimal and simple methods performance compared in terms of PSNR (Finestones).	72
5. 4	Test images: Lena, Man and Finstone (512*512 - 8 bit gray-scale images). These images can be downloaded from USC-SIPI Image Database.	73
5. 5	Quality performance attained for Man.	75
5. 6	Quality performance attained for Lena.	77
5. 7	Quality performance attained for Finstones.	79
5. 8	Quality performance attained for the same number of LSBs for Man.	82
5. 9	Error images represent how well the edges are used rather than smooth edges by using Lena via Adaptive method.	85
5. 10	Error images represent how well the edges are used rather than smooth edges y using Lena via Non-Adaptive (Fixed) method.	86
5. 11	Results for Chi-squared attack on Man image been embedded by simple LSB method and tested on 50, 100 and over 100 percent of the image (Used more than one bit to embed message bits).	89
5. 12	Results for Chi-squared attack on Man image been embedded by the new adaptive method and tested on 50, 100 and over 100 percent of the image (Used more than one bit to embed message bits).	90
6. 1	The average diagram shows how well adaptive mode does in comparison with MBNS and Fixed mode.	94



**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

LSB	Least Significant Bit
PoV	Pair of Values
HVS	human vision sensitivity
MBNS	Multiple-Based Notational System
PRNG	Pseudo random number generator
RS	Reed-Solomon
MSE	Mean Square Error
PVD	Pixel-value differencing
MELsBR	Minimum-Error LSB Replacement
PSNR	Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Source of the new method	98